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What is This?
Resting-State Functional Brain Connectivity: Lessons from Functional Near-Infrared Spectroscopy

Haijing Niu¹ and Yong He¹

Abstract
Resting-state functional near-infrared spectroscopy (R-fNIRS) is an active area of interest and is currently attracting considerable attention as a new imaging tool for the study of resting-state brain function. Using variations in hemodynamic concentration signals, R-fNIRS measures the brain’s low-frequency spontaneous neural activity, combining the advantages of portability, low-cost, high temporal sampling rate and less physical burden to participants. The temporal synchronization of spontaneous neuronal activity in anatomically separated regions is referred to as resting-state functional connectivity (RSFC). In the past several years, an increasing body of R-fNIRS RSFC studies has led to many important findings about functional integration among local or whole-brain regions by measuring inter-regional temporal synchronization. Here, we summarize recent advances made in the R-fNIRS RSFC methodologies, from the detection of RSFC (e.g., seed-based correlation analysis, independent component analysis, whole-brain correlation analysis, and graph-theoretical topological analysis), to the assessment of RSFC performance (e.g., reliability, repeatability, and validity), to the application of RSFC in studying normal development and brain disorders. The literature reviewed here suggests that RSFC analyses based on R-fNIRS data are valid and reliable for the study of brain function in healthy and diseased populations, thus providing a promising imaging tool for cognitive science and clinics.
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Introduction
The human brain is a complex and dynamic system and is often represented as a structurally or functionally interconnected network that works to ensure both continuous processing and efficient information flow between interconnected units (He and Evans 2010; Sporns 2013). Examining neural connectivity patterns can provide valuable insight into how the human brain operates (Bassett and Gazzaniga 2011). Recent research has shown that modern neuroimaging and neurophysiological techniques (e.g., fMRI, electroencephalography/magnetoencephalography [EEG/MEG], and functional near-infrared spectroscopy [fNIRS]) are important tools for exploring functional integration among brain regions during different states, including the resting and task states in normal people and patients with neurological and psychiatric disorders (Bassett and Bullmore 2009; He and Evans 2010; Sporns 2013; Xia and He 2011; Yu and others 2012).

Functional near-infrared spectroscopy is a promising technology with a high temporal sampling rate, long period of continuous data acquisition, and low physical burden on the participants. As an emerging neuroimaging tool, fNIRS has been successfully used to localize brain activation during cognitive engagement (Gervain and others 2008; Nakano and others 2009; Niu and others 2010; Sugiura and others 2011; Taga and others 2003; Zeff and others 2007) and to identify functional connectivity during resting-state brain activity (Homae and others 2010; Lu and others 2010; Mesquita and others 2010; Niu and others 2012; Sasai and others 2011; White and others 2012; White and others 2009; H. Zhang and others 2010; Y.-J. Zhang and others 2010). To date, several typical approaches for the analysis of resting-state functional connectivity (RSFC) using other imaging modalities
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(e.g., fMRI and EEG/MEG) have also been applied to resting-state fNIRS (R-fNIRS) to characterize the local- or whole-brain functional connectivity. These approaches primarily include seed-based correlation analysis, independent component analysis (ICA), whole-brain correlation analysis, and graph-theoretical topological analysis (see the Resting-State Functional Connectivity Section under “Basic Concepts”). Here, we conducted a literature search on PubMed (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed) using key words such as ((NIRS [All Fields] OR fNIRS [All Fields]) OR optical [All Fields]) AND ((resting-state [All Fields] AND functional connectivity [All Fields]) OR (resting-state [All Fields] AND functional networks [All Fields])), and 15 publications were identified in the human brain research field (Table 1).

In this review, we summarize these recent advances made in the study of RSFC derived from R-fNIRS data, focusing mainly on areas of ongoing research and application. This study will hopefully generate more excitement about the emerging R-fNIRS RSFC field. This article is organized into three main sections. First, we introduce several basic principles and concepts regarding fNIRS and brain connectivity. Then, we review a series of R-fNIRS studies from three perspectives: RSFC detection methods (e.g., seed-based correlation analysis, ICA, whole-brain correlation analysis, and graph-theoretical topological analysis), RSFC performance assessment (e.g., reliability, reproducibility, and validity) and its relevant applications. Finally, we highlight future directions and challenging issues within the R-fNIRS RSFC research field.

Basic Concepts

R-fNIRS Imaging Systems

Multiple optical instruments have been applied to R-fNIRS studies for the measurement of the hemodynamic response in human brain tissue, which include the CW5/CW6 system (TechEn, Milford, MA; Mesquita and others 2010; Niu and others 2011; Niu and others 2012, 2013), the DYNOT system (NIRx Medical Technologies, New York, NY) (Niu and others 2011); a customized high-density diffuse optical tomography (DOT) system (White and others 2009; White and others 2012), and the ETG100/4000/ETG7000 systems (Hitachi Medical Co., Tokyo, Japan). The CW systems are continuously, either at a constant intensity or are modulated at a low (a few kilohertz) frequency, and the absorption changes in tissue are determined by measuring the attenuation of the incident light.

General Principles of R-fNIRS Detection

Human brain tissue is a turbid media in which near-infrared (NIR) light (650-1000 nm) is diffused by tissue cells and is absorbed mainly by oxygenated hemoglobin (HbO2) and deoxygenated hemoglobin (HbR) (Ferrari and Quaresima 2012; Jobsis 1977; Wray and others 1988). Given that the two chromophores have distinct absorption spectra in the NIR range, neural activity–based changes in HbO2 and HbR concentrations in the cerebral cortex can be quantified using two or more wavelengths (Boas and others 2004; Jobsis 1977; Zhang and others 2012). During image collection, sources are fixed into a custom holder and are then placed adjacent to the scalp, allowing the NIR light to penetrate the scalp, skull, and cerebrospinal fluid to reach the cortical layers of the brain (Jobsis 1977). The reflected light from the cortical tissue is then received by detectors that are positioned a few centimeters away from the sources. Typically, a source–detector pair with a separation of approximately 3 cm is considered to be able to effectively record cortical brain tissue activation (Niu and others 2010; Tian and others 2011).

The concentration calculation of HbO2 and HbR in the continuous wave systems is mainly based on the Beer–Lambert law (Cope and Delpy 1988). When light of a certain wavelength λ1 passes through a non-scattering homogeneous medium, the incremental optical density (∆OD) from the reference is expressed as

\[ ∆OD = −\log I / I_0 = \varepsilon \cdot ∆C \cdot L \]  

where I and I0 are the intensities of the detected and illuminated light, respectively, ε is the molar absorption coefficient, C is concentration, and L is the length that light travels through the medium (optical pathlength) (Arridge and others 1992; Delpy and others 1988). For a light-scattering system such as brain tissue, the change of optical density is modified according to the Beer–Lambert law (Cope and Delpy 1988; Delpy and others 1988):

\[ ∆OD_{λ_1} = (ε_{HbO2}^λ \cdot ∆C_{HbO2} + ε_{HbR}^λ \cdot ∆C_{HbR}) \cdot L^λ + S_{λ_1} \]  

where Sref to the optical attenuation mainly due to scattering, ε is the molar absorption coefficient of HbO2 or HbR, ∆C represents the concentration changes of HbO2 or HbR (unit: μmol/L or μM). To minimize the effect of Sref, a dual-wavelength method is often used (Cope and Delpy 1988; Delpy and others 1988). For λ2, the optical density is written as
Therefore, by measuring the changes in the optical densities at two wavelengths, the concentration changes of HbO2 and HbR in tissue can be calculated as

$$\Delta \text{OD}_{\lambda} = (\varepsilon^{\lambda}_{\text{HbO}} \cdot \Delta C_{\text{HbO}} + \varepsilon^{\lambda}_{\text{HbR}} \cdot \Delta C_{\text{HbR}}) \cdot L_{\lambda} + S_{\lambda}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

The summation of the changes in HbO2 and HbR provide variations in the total hemoglobin (HbT), which reflects the variable blood flow within the tissue. Of note, the optical pathlength ($L$) in the tissue is longer than the physical one (the distance between the sources and detectors) due to the scattering effect, such that (Arridge and others 1992; Delpy and others 1988)

$$L = \text{DPF} \cdot d$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

where $d$ is the distance between the light source and the detector and DPF is the differential pathlength factor that indicates the lengthening of the average optical pathlength due to light scattering in tissue. DPF has been measured in participants with a range of ages (Strangman and others 2003).

### Resting-State Functional Connectivity

The resting state is a natural condition in which there is neither overt perceptual input nor behavioral output. Because this state is convenient to achieve, comparable across different studies, and reflects spontaneous or intrinsic brain activity, the resting state is a vital experimental

---

**Table 1. Overview of R-fNIRS RSFC Studies.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Sources/Detectors</th>
<th>Wavelengths (nm)</th>
<th>Brain Regions</th>
<th>Analytical Methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White and others (2009)</td>
<td>5 adults</td>
<td>Custom-built DOT</td>
<td>24S/28D, 24S/18D</td>
<td>750/850</td>
<td>Sensorimotor, visual cortex</td>
<td>Seed-based correlation analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu and others (2010)</td>
<td>29 adults</td>
<td>ETG-4000</td>
<td>17S/16D</td>
<td>695/830</td>
<td>Sensorimotor, auditory</td>
<td>Seed-based correlation analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Zhang and others (2010)</td>
<td>21, 19 adults</td>
<td>ETG-4000</td>
<td>17S/16D</td>
<td>695/830</td>
<td>Sensorimotor, visual</td>
<td>ICA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homae and others (2010)</td>
<td>52 infants</td>
<td>ETG-7000</td>
<td>305/30D</td>
<td>785/830</td>
<td>Whole-head</td>
<td>Whole-brain correlation analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-J Zhang and others (2010)</td>
<td>30 adults</td>
<td>ETG-4000</td>
<td>85/7D</td>
<td>695/830</td>
<td>Frontal, temporal</td>
<td>Seed-based correlation analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesquita and others (2010)</td>
<td>11 adults</td>
<td>CW5</td>
<td>16S/32D</td>
<td>690/830</td>
<td>Whole-head</td>
<td>Seed-based correlation analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Zhang and others (2010)</td>
<td>16 adults</td>
<td>ETG-4000</td>
<td>17S/16D</td>
<td>695/830</td>
<td>Sensorimotor</td>
<td>ICA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sasaki and others (2011)</td>
<td>21 adults</td>
<td>ETG-100</td>
<td>10S/8D</td>
<td>695/830</td>
<td>Whole-head</td>
<td>Whole-brain correlation analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niu and others (2011)b</td>
<td>8, 9 adults</td>
<td>CW5, DYNOT</td>
<td>105/16D, 32S/32D</td>
<td>695/830, 760/830</td>
<td>Sensorimotor</td>
<td>Seed-based correlation analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang and others (2011)</td>
<td>21 adults</td>
<td>ETG-4000</td>
<td>17S/16D</td>
<td>695/830</td>
<td>Sensorimotor</td>
<td>Seed-based correlation analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White and others (2012)</td>
<td>8 infants</td>
<td>Custom-built DOT</td>
<td>18S/16D</td>
<td>750/830</td>
<td>Visual</td>
<td>Seed-based correlation analysis, ICA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duan and others (2012)</td>
<td>21 adults</td>
<td>ETG-4000</td>
<td>85/8D</td>
<td>695/830</td>
<td>Sensorimotor</td>
<td>Seed-based correlation analysis, graph-theory analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sasaki and others (2012)</td>
<td>28 adults</td>
<td>ETG-4000</td>
<td>85/8D</td>
<td>695/830</td>
<td>Whole-head</td>
<td>Seed-based correlation analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niu and others (2012)</td>
<td>15 adults</td>
<td>CW6</td>
<td>12S/24D</td>
<td>690/830</td>
<td>Whole-head</td>
<td>Graph-theory analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang and others (2012)</td>
<td>21 adults</td>
<td>ETG-4000</td>
<td>17S/16D</td>
<td>695/830</td>
<td>Sensorimotor</td>
<td>Whole-brain correlation analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niu and others (2013)</td>
<td>18 adults</td>
<td>CW6</td>
<td>12S/24D</td>
<td>690/830</td>
<td>Whole-head</td>
<td>Graph-theory analysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R-fNIRS = resting-state functional near-infrared spectroscopy; RSFC = resting-state functional connectivity; DOT = diffuse optical tomography; ICA = independent component analysis.

*a* For this study, 21 subjects were scanned at the sensorimotor areas and 19 at the visual regions.

*b* For this study, 8 subjects were scanned using the CW5 system and 9 using the DYNOT system.
paradigm for the study of brain function (Fox and Raichle 2007; Zhang and Raichle 2010). Functional connectivity derived from resting-state brain activity (i.e., RSFC) measures the temporal synchronization of spontaneous neuronal activation patterns of anatomically separated brain regions (Fox and Raichle 2007). In the past several years, an increasing body of research from different modalities has begun to explore RSFC between brain regions, and significant progress has been made. While the study of RSFC is an emerging topic in the R-fNIRS field, it is attracting increasing attention. Currently, four different types of R-fNIRS RSFC approaches have been used (Fig. 1): (1) the seed-based correlation analysis, which computes temporal correlations between a predefined channel of interest and other channels; (2) the independent component analysis or ICA, which uses the whole data set (i.e., all channels) to divide the brain into several statistically independent functional systems; (3) whole-brain correlation analysis, which examines the temporal correlation of time series between any two measurement channels in the whole brain; and (4) graph-theoretical topological analysis, which describes the topological organization patterns of brain networks.

Resting-State Functional Connectivity Studies Using R-fNIRS

R-fNIRS RSFC studies have been attracting increasing attention. To date, interesting progress has been made in three categories: RSFC detection methodologies, RSFC performance assessment, and the relevant applications of RSFC (Table 1).

Methodologies of RSFC Detection

Seed-based correlation analysis. The basic idea behind seed-based RSFC detection is the estimation of the strength of pairwise relationships between the seed regions (usually defined in terms of anatomical location or stimulus-induced activation foci) and all other regions in the brain. Using this method, several R-fNIRS studies have identified intrinsic functional connectivity in different brain systems (Fig. 2), such as the sensorimotor (Lu and others 2010; White and others 2009; White and others 2012), visual (White and others 2009), auditory (Lu and others 2010), and language systems (Y-J Zhang and others 2010). For example, White and others (2009) investigated RSFC in bilateral motor and visual regions of five participants. They found that the functional connectivity maps in each subject identified regions of the motor and visual cortices that highly resembled well-known functional networks that had been measured by fMRI. The functional connectivity maps were reproducible across sessions and subjects, demonstrating the feasibility and usefulness of the R-fNIRS technique for resting-state brain network detection. Using the seed-correlation approach, Lu and others (2010) examined the RSFC in the auditory systems of a group of 29 subjects. Unlike in White and others’ work, Lu and others analyzed the group-level RSFC and found a high degree of functional connectivity in the bilateral auditory systems. This connectivity was
consistent with that determined from both a data-driven cluster analysis and a predefined template in the auditory regions. Also using the seed-correlation analysis strategy, this research group found strong functional connectivity within the bilateral language system (Y-J Zhang and others 2010), further confirming the feasibility of using R-fNIRS to detect RSFC in high-level functional brain systems. In whole-head functional network studies, the seed-correlation approach was also shown to be capable of identifying multiple functional brain networks from the whole-brain data set. The corresponding study was performed by Mesquita and others (2010), who simultaneously recorded brain signal in regions of the frontal, parietal, temporal and occipital cortices of each participant. The seed point in each functional brain system was separately localized and then used as the correlation analysis of the whole-brain data. Each identified functional system corresponded to a functional connectivity network, more often evidently for the sensorimotor and visual networks, further highlighting the feasibility of using functional connectivity and optical methods to investigate cortical interactions within the entire brain system.

**Figure 2.** Seed-based correlation analysis. The resting-state functional connectivity (RSFC) map was produced separately from the (A) motor regions (White and others 2009), (B) visual regions (White and others 2009), (C) auditory regions (Lu and others 2010), (D) language systems (Y-J Zhang and others 2010), and (E) entire brain (Mesquita and others 2010). The RSFC maps in (A-C) represent the correlation calculated from left and right hemispheric seeds (black arrows), respectively. The RSFC maps in (D, E) represented the correlation from the given seeds (black arrows), respectively. Note that the numbers in (C) and (D) represent the indices of the measurement channels in the probe and the color bar represents the statistical values such as correlation coefficient or t values.
Independent component analysis. Independent component analysis is a statistical approach that was designed to extract independent sources from a data set of mixed and unknown sources. ICA was originally proposed as a blind source separation method (Hyvärinen 1999) and is now increasingly used to remove noise (Kohno and others 2007; McKeown and others 2003; Srivastava and others 2005; Thomas and others 2002) and detect functional connectivity (Greicius and others 2004; Iriarte and others 2003; Kiviniemi and others 2003; Scheeringa and others 2008; van de Ven and others 2004; H. Zhang and others 2010) in fMRI/EEG/fNIRS data. H. Zhang and others (2010) recently applied the ICA algorithm to R-fNIRS to identify RSFC in the motor and visual cortices, which was previously accomplished using the seed-correlation method. Temporal ICA analysis was performed on a series of R-fNIRS data (from 21 young adults) by assuming the temporal independence of the unknown signal sources. The decomposed components of interest (i.e., the sensorimotor and visual components) were visually identified according to the spatial map of the component and the frequency power of its time course. Generally, RSFC maps showed localized and symmetrical spatial distribution in either the motor or the visual system, and the correlation maps were also established to be consistent with the spatial maps from the seed correlation approaches and with the predefined labeling map in the motor or visual areas (Fig. 3). A similar ICA analysis was conducted by White and others (2012) to identify visual RSFC in healthy newborn infants and in newborn infants with occipital strokes. In the healthy newborns, bilateral functional connectivity in the visual cortex was effectively identified using the ICA method; in contrast, abnormal unilateral connectivity patterns in visual cortex were identified in the infants with the occipital strokes. The results of these two ICA studies (White and others 2012; H. Zhang and others 2010) demonstrate the feasibility of ICA for use in R-fNIRS RSFC detection.

Whole-brain correlation analysis. Whole-brain correlation analysis represents the computation of the functional connectivity between any two measurement channel pairs in the whole brain. Using this method, Homae and others (2010) demonstrated that RSFC of human infants from several days to 6 months after birth varies dynamically along the developmental course. Zhang and others (2012) also used this method to show that the dominant frequency of RSFC within one functional system can be identified by introducing anatomical priori information about this functional system. For different brain regions of functional systems, Sasai and others (2011) found that the global properties of RSFC are also frequency dependent. To address this frequency-dependent phenomenon, they first decomposed the hemodynamic fluctuations recorded from multiple regions of prefrontal, temporal, and occipital cortex during the resting state into various frequency bands (0.009-0.02, 0.02-0.04, 0.04-0.06, 0.06-0.08, and 0.08-0.1 Hz). Then the whole-brain RSFC between any two channels were calculated on each frequency band. Functional connections with correlation coefficients greater than 0.6 were considered to be edges. The results showed that edges between homologous cortical regions showed high correlation over a wide frequency range (0.009-0.1 Hz) (Fig. 4), which could be due to direct neuroanatomical connections between homologous regions. However, edges between the frontal and occipital regions exhibited frequency-specific functional connectivity in a narrow frequency range (0.04-0.1 Hz) (Fig. 4). This narrow frequency corresponded to the time scale of typical hemodynamic response to a single event, and the connections may thus reflect synchronization of transient neural activation among distant cortical regions.

Graph-theoretical analysis. The graph-theory approach is a straightforward and powerful tool for characterizing the topological architecture of brain networks. Using this approach, a variety of neuroimaging (e.g., fMRI and EEG/MEG) research has shown that the human
brain network is topologically organized in a non-trivial manner (e.g., with small-world architecture and modular structures) that supports efficient information processing in the brain (Bullmore and Sporns 2009; He and Evans 2010; Stam 2010; Yu and others 2011; Zalesky and others 2012). Recently, Niu and others (2012) used the graph-theoretical network analysis approach to examine the topological organization of the human whole-brain functional network constructed using R-fNIRS data. In the R-fNIRS brain network framework, the channels are considered to be vertices, and the functional connections between the channels are considered to be edges. Therefore, R-fNIRS data with N nodes formed an $N \times N$ correlation matrix, in which each value represents the functional connectivity between nodes. The functional connectivity was obtained by computing the Pearson correlation coefficients for the time series between pairs of nodes. Furthermore, the correlation matrix was thresholded into a binary graph, such that edges with absolute connectivity strengths larger than the threshold value were set to 1 and all others were set to 0. Finally, a series of graphic metrics of topological networks were applied to characterize the R-fNIRS functional brain network. Overall, the authors found that the network properties derived from the R-fNIRS data were consistent with previous findings from other imaging techniques (e.g., fMRI and EEG/MEG), exhibiting modularity, small-worldness, and the existence of highly connected network hubs (Fig. 5). The network properties were also relatively consistent between the individual networks and the population-based mean networks. This study represents the first topological investigation of whole-brain functional connectivity network using graph-theory combined with R-fNIRS. Notably, based on one single functional brain system (i.e., the motor system), Duan and others (2012) calculated two network parameters (the clustering coefficient and the characteristic path length) using graph theoretical analysis and found that these two parameter values derived from R-fNIRS data were compatible with those derived from the fMRI data. Thus, these two studies (Duan and others 2012; Niu and others 2012) demonstrated that a combination of graph-theory approaches and optical imaging techniques is feasible for the exploration of the topological organization of human brain functional networks. Notably, compared with the above-mentioned three RSFC approaches (seed-based correlation analysis, ICA, and whole-brain correlation analysis) that focus on the relationship between individual regions or separate components, the graph theory-based approach primarily focuses on revealing the topological principles governing the functional connectivity patterns within the whole-brain network.
Figure 5. Graph-theoretical topological analysis (Niu and others 2012). (A) Flowchart for the construction of a functional brain network. (B) Modular architectures of functional networks at several selective sparsity thresholds (0.1, 0.2, and 0.3). Five, three, and two functional modules are separately identified in the functional brain network. The size of each node denotes its relative nodal degree value in the brain network. (C) Hubs (red) in the functional brain network. The node size indicates its relative nodal centrality normalized to the corresponding mean for all nodes in the network.
Assessment of RSFC Performance

Notably, a number of factors could influence the detection performance of an R-fNIRS brain network, such as variations in the fNIRS instruments and measurement environment (Leff and others 2011), in the probe placement across participants and scanning sessions (Hoshi 2007), and in the participants’ mental states during scanning (Shehzad and others 2009). Consequently, reliability, reproducibility, and validity, which are important for fNIRS-based RSFC studies in basic and clinical neuroscience, have been examined in several recent R-fNIRS studies.

Reliability assessment. Test–retest is a general measure to examine reliability in neuroimaging studies. Usually, the test–retest involves the scanning of the data several times (e.g., twice) at different times and then researchers can compute the statistical similarity of the results with an intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) (Shrout and Fleiss 1979). Using the test–retest reliability-assessment strategy, Zhang and others (2011) separately examined the reliability level of RSFC maps derived from seed-correlation and ICA-derived approaches. The scanning interval for two R-fNIRS recording sessions is one week. The reliability results of the RSFC analysis using these two different approaches consistently demonstrated that fNIRS-based RSFC is reliable, independent of the individual and group levels determined by spatial map and functionally connected clusters. Recently, Niu and others (2013) used R-fNIRS and a graph-theoretical approach to systematically address test–retest reliability of human brain functional networks, including RSFCs, global network metrics and regional nodal centrality metrics. Eighteen subjects participated in two R-fNIRS scan sessions held ∼20 minutes apart. Functional brain networks were constructed for each subject by computing temporal correlations on three types of hemoglobin concentration information (HbO, HbR, and HbT), followed by a graph-theoretical analysis. An ICC was further applied to quantify the test–retest reliability of each network metric. They observed that a large proportion of RSFCs (~90%) exhibited good reliability (0.6 < ICC < 0.74). For global and nodal measures, reliability was generally threshold sensitive and varied between both network metrics and hemoglobin concentration signals. Specifically, the majority of global metrics exhibited fair to excellent reliability, with notably higher ICC values for the clustering coefficient (HbO, 0.76; HbR, 0.78; HbT, 0.53) and global efficiency (HbO, 0.76; HbR, 0.70; HbT, 0.78). Similarly, both nodal degree and efficiency measures also showed fair to excellent reliability across nodes (degree, 0.52-0.84; efficiency, 0.50-0.84); reliability was concordant across HbO, HbR and HbT and was significantly higher than that of nodal betweenness (0.28-0.68). Together, these results suggest that many graphic metrics derived from R-fNIRS are test–retest reliable and can be used for brain network research.

Reproducibility assessment. Niu and others (2011) demonstrated the reproducibility of RSFC maps between systems by repeating similar experiments using different instruments (DYNOT and CW5). The experimental protocol used in this study was the same as that used in the study by Lu and others (2010), so these results provided a direct RSFC comparison between different research groups. A similar analysis strategy (seed-based correlation) to that used in Lu and others’ study was adopted to identify the RSFC in the motor regions of each dataset. For each data set, the bilateral motor regions showed the strongest functional connectivity, and the spatial connectivity maps also showed similar patterns of spatial distribution between the two imaging systems and between the research groups. Taken together, this evidence suggests that fNIRS-based RSFC detection is reproducible in other imaging systems and research groups, allowing fNIRS researchers to confidently use different fNIRS instruments for RSFC detection in the future. Moreover, Niu and others (2012) also investigated the reproducibility of the graphic topological properties of the R-fNIRS brain network using a splitting-half analysis across participants and over time. Specifically, they divided all participants into two nonoverlapping subgroups and also further divided the entire dataset into two equal parts to examine the reproducibility of the network metrics both across subjects and over time. For each subgroup and each sub–data set, the authors constructed an adjacent matrix, with which they analyzed and compared the topological properties of the constructed functional brain networks. The compared results showed high consistency and good similarity between network properties of each data set, indicating that the fNIRS-based, graph theory–derived topological properties of human brain networks are stable and highly reproducible across subjects and over time.

Validity assessment. Given that fMRI is generally considered an important reference technique for in vivo imaging of human brain activity, one way of demonstrating the validity of fNIRS-based RSFC detection is to compare fNIRS and fMRI results using either simultaneous or nonsimultaneous data collection. For example, White and others (2009) conducted nonsimultaneous data acquisition of fNIRS and fMRI signals on one subject and found that the spatial RSFC maps between these two modalities were quantitatively similar in both the motor and the visual brain regions. Furthermore, based on the simultaneous acquisition signals from the fNIRS in bilateral motor regions and the fMRI in the whole brain, Duan and...
others (2012) found that fNIRS and fMRI produce comparable RSFC patterns, demonstrating the validity of R-fNIRS RSFC detection in local brain regions. Moreover, in the whole-brain range, Sasai and others (2012) found that using fNIRS signal as a seed point to correlate the whole-brain blood oxygen level–dependent (BOLD) signal can accurately identify three known resting-state networks (the dorsal attention, the frontoparietal control, and the default mode networks). These networks were shown to be comparable to the resting-state networks that use the BOLD signal as a seed point to correlate with the whole-brain BOLD signal, thus confirming the validity of RSFC detection for use in the whole-brain range. Although different spatial correspondence strategies and imaging areas were used in the studies from Duan and others (2012) and Sasai and others (2012), their fNIRS and fMRI results showed quantitative and comparable consistencies that demonstrated the validity of fNIRS-based RSFC detection.

**Application of RSFC**

In the fMRI field, RSFC has been demonstrated to be a potential biomarker for the assessment of brain states in healthy and diseased populations (Bassett and others 2009; van den Heuvel and Hulshoff Pol 2010; Xia and He 2011). In the fNIRS community, such a RSFC approach has also been used to characterize brain connectivity changes with development (Homae and others 2010) and disease (White and others 2012). For example, based on whole-brain data acquisition from a group of 52 healthy infants between several days and 6 months of age, Homae and others (2010) found that the cortical network organization showed regional dependency and dynamic changes during development (Fig. 6). Specifically, the temporal, parietal, and occipital cortical regions showed an increased connectivity pattern, whereas the frontal regions showed a remarkable decrease in connectivity. This finding reflects the strengthening and pruning of connections between corresponding cortical regions during the development of the infant brain. In addition, the number of frontoposterior long-connectivity interactions decreased from the neonatal period to the age of 3 months and increased from the age of 3 months to the age of 6 months. This “U-shaped” pattern observed over the first 6 months may demonstrate a reorganization of the connectivity between the frontal and posterior regions during development (Fig. 6). This literature is the first R-fNIRS study to explore developmental changes in the functional connectivity of early infants. Moreover, White and others (2012) also showed that functional connectivity can be acquired at the bedside in the nursery and in neonatal intensive care units using optical methods (Fig. 7). In particular, the authors found that the functional connectivity within the visual network in an infant with unilateral occipital stroke was unilateral and nonsymmetrical, according to both the seed-correlation method and the ICA approach. This is remarkably different from the functional connectivity pattern of healthy infants, in which the spatial patterns of connectivity that map to the occipital brain regions display a strong, bilaterally symmetrical connectivity. This study represents the first optical resting-state network in disordered infants, demonstrating the promise of fNIRS-based RSFC for use in a clinical setting.

**Future Perspectives**

In this review, we summarized the recent advances made in RSFC study of spontaneous brain activity in the R-fNIRS field. These distinct RSFC analysis methodologies (e.g., the seed-based correlation analysis, ICA, whole-brain correlation analysis and graph-theoretical topological analysis) have all been successfully applied to R-fNIRS data and have achieved many significant results. These findings provide crucial evidence to demonstrate the feasibility and validity of the fNIRS technique for the study of resting-state brain networks. More important, these findings have increased our understanding of the intrinsic human brain connectivity networks, as derived from R-fNIRS. However, studies on the fNIRS-based human brain functional networks are still in their early stages, and many challenging issues in this new research field need to be addressed through future work.

First, although functional connectivity can be characterized by different methods, such as seed-based correlation and ICA-derived analysis, it is not known which method can best describe the intrinsic functional connectivity of the brain. Moreover, with the application of graph theoretical approaches for R-fNIRS, it remains to be determined if the definition of network nodes and edges used in fMRI are also suitable for use in R-fNIRS. In addition, although a number of different strategies for analyzing fNIRS data have been proposed, no unified standard has emerged for the analysis of R-fNIRS data, which may produce biased results and conclusions. For example, in terms of the robust hemoglobin concentration used to characterize functional connectivity during the resting state, several research groups have identified nonconforming patterns (Duan and others 2012; Homae and others 2010; Lu and others 2010; Mesquita and others 2010; Niu and others 2011; White and others 2009; H. Zhang and others 2010). There also exist competitive disputes concerning the major components of low-frequency R-fNIRS signals. For example, Tong and Frederick (2010) found that the low-frequency components of the R-fNIRS signals were affected by fluctuations in blood flow and hemoglobin oxygenation at a
global circulatory system level. Conversely, Sasai and others (2012) noted that the low-frequency R-fNIRS signals mainly contained information representing spontaneous cortical activity, although some physiological noise from non-brain tissues was aliased to the signal. These differences primarily originate from the distinct processing methods and analysis strategies that were applied in these studies. Therefore, it is critical to develop a set of standard resting-state data analysis methods to facilitate the use of fNIRS in future brain functional network studies.

Second, although graph theoretical brain network analysis has attracted a great deal of attention and has proven feasible for R-fNIRS study, the reliability and reproducibility of the graph metrics of functional human brain networks derived from this technique remain to be elucidated. Some experimental factors could affect the reliability of graphic metrics of the R-fNIRS brain network, including the fNIRS instruments and measurement environment (Leff and others 2011), the probe placement across participants and scanning sessions (Hoshi 2007), and the participants’ mental states (Shehzad and others 2009). Given these concerns, it is important and necessary to systematically study the test–retest reliability of graphic properties of R-fNIRS brain networks. If these metrics are test–retest reliable, they have the potential to be adopted for use as biomarkers in future cognitive neuroscience and clinical research, especially in longitudinal

Figure 6. Developmental changes of resting-state functional connectivity (RSFC) in early infancy (Homae and others 2010). (A) Representative distribution of correlation coefficients. The red lines show correlations greater than 0.5. (B, C) Increases, decreases, and U-shaped changes in connectivity during the course of development. The red, green, and blue lines in (B) connect channels that showed significant changes in temporal correlations. (C) Individual correlation data normalized to the z scores by using Fischer’s z transformation. The data for connections shown by the bold lines in (B) are presented here. The differences between the infant groups are shown (*p < 0.05, post hoc tests, Tukey’s honestly significant difference).
studies of brain changes and in research using repeated measurements in the context of normal development or pharmacological treatments.

Third, what are the origins of detected brain functions or, more generally, how to quantify the separate contributions of the neural and nonneural activities? Theoretically, one could raise the importance of multimodal imaging to unravel the origins of detected brain fluctuations. New developments like magnetic resonance encephalography (Hennig 2012; Zahneisen and others 2012) and other inverse imaging techniques (Boyacioglu and Barth 2012; Lin and others 2012) make the detection and sampling of brain activity fluctuations more precise but also more noisy. In contrast to those imaging techniques, fNIRS exhibited its particular advantages of low instrumental noise, clear physiological significance of the measured hemoglobin signals and free of electromagnetic interference as well as the merits of low physical burden for subjects, good portability, and relatively high temporal sampling rate. Therefore, fNIRS is considered to be a potential neuroimaging technique to unravel the origins of detected brain fluctuations with the combination of other imaging modalities. Specifically, recent studies have shown that the utility of fNIRS signal simultaneously measured with other neuroimaging technique (e.g., fMRI) is useful for partitioning the contributing factors of the low-frequency physiological noises (Tong and others 2011) as well as regressing out these noise components with fNIRS (Cooper and others 2012; Frederick and others 2012).

Fourth, constructing dynamic human brain networks and understanding potential time-varying network behaviors is a significant goal for future R-fNIRS studies. The human brain is a complex and dynamic system, and its dynamic nature may be even more prominent in a resting state with unconstrained mental activity. Recent resting-state studies using fMRI and EEG/MEG have consistently demonstrated that the spontaneous functional connectivity network exhibits dynamic changes within time scales of seconds to minutes (Allen and others 2012; Chang and Glover 2010; Kang and others 2011). Additionally, several task- or stimuli–response–based studies have demonstrated that functional brain networks exhibit task-induced changes in the topology features of the functional networks. For instance, Kitzbichler and others (2011) found that human brain functional systems can immediately reconfigure the efficiency of brain networks according to the load of cognitive engagement. Therefore, exploring the dynamics of functional brain connectivity networks may reveal

Figure 7. Bedside resting-state functional connectivity (RSFC) detection in infants (White and others 2012). (A) Photograph of the optical probe on a premature infant. (B) An axial slice (neurological orientation) of a T2-weighted MRI in a preterm infant with an occipital stroke. (C, D) RSFC maps using seeds placed where the left and right visual cortices are expected to be. In both cases, only unilateral correlations were found.
intrinsic information about the processing mechanisms of the human brain and capture variability that could provide novel insights into functional connectivity differences found in various neuropsychiatric diseases (Xia and He 2011). Given that fNIRS can also provide the measures of brain metabolism in addition to hemoglobin-based information (Heekeren and others 1999; Jobsis 1977; Obrig 2013), it will also be significant and promising to investigate the dynamic brain mechanism with fNIRS. For example, by recording data from several wavelengths simultaneously, one can measure different tissue chromophores such as cytochrome c and cytochrome oxidase (i.e., cytochrome aa3) (Strangman and others 2002; Yin and others 2013). As a marker of metabolic demands, cytochrome aa3 measurements can provide more direct information about neuronal activity than hemoglobin changes (Heekeren and others 1999; Jobsis 1977). There is also evidence to show that fNIRS can detect fast signal occurring in the 50 to 200 ms following neuronal firing (Gratton and Fabiani 2001; Steinbrink and others 2000; Stepnoski and others 1991). However, in the application to human brain function studies, two notable challenges exist for the cytochrome aa3, primarily involving the technical complexities associated with this measurement in the human brain and the insufficient chromophore separation algorithm (Cooper and others 1999; Cooper and Springett 1997). Notably, a hybrid optical spectrometer and accompanying algorithm designed to address the above issues has recently been developed by Clare E. Elwell’s group (Kolyva and others 2012; Tachtsidis and others 2010). Based on the instrument and theory, they found that the change of cytochrome aa3 was a better brain-specific signal of cerebral metabolism compared to hemoglobin information (Kolyva and others 2013), which therefore fortifies its clinical appeal as a noninvasive marker of regional cerebral metabolic status in future.

Fifth, we still know very little about how resting-state functional brain networks relate to individual traits. The answer to this question may provide new insights into understanding the differences and relationships between the brain functional networks of different individuals. Using fMRI, van den Heuvel and others (2009) found that the overall organization of spontaneous functional brain networks had a strong negative correlation between the normalized characteristic path length and the intelligence quotient. Additionally, Smit and others (2008) demonstrated that individual differences in the topological properties of resting-state functional brain networks are heritable. It will therefore be of significant interest to investigate the relationship between network organization and individual characteristics (e.g., intelligence quotient and memory or attention abilities) in the context of R-fNIRS on persons such as young children and patients with severe movement disorders.

Finally, there are currently only a few studies focusing on functional connectivity attributes during the performance of tasks. For example, Najafizadeh and others (as cited in Fan and others 2013) conducted a preliminary fNIRS study and found that the prefrontal cortex RSFC differed before and after executing a working memory task. Eguíluz and others (2005) reported that scale-free small-world topology in human brain networks remained constant between different task conditions. Bassett and others (2006) also found that the behavioral state during task performance did not strongly influence the global topology of the human brain network at rest, but that was instead associated with the emergence of some long-range connections. This group (Bassett and others 2009) further confirmed that good working memory task performance was positively correlated with the cost-efficiency of the beta-band brain networks. Collectively, these investigations suggest that studying brain networks under both resting and task conditions and during the transition between these states may offer new insights into the rapid adaptive reconfiguration of neuronal assemblies that underlie the changes between cognitive states.

Conclusions

This review demonstrates the current advances on the fNIRS-based RSFC literature by highlighting the progression from RSFC detection methodologies (e.g., seed-based correlation analysis, ICA, whole-brain correlation analysis and graph-theoretical topological analysis), RSFC performance assessment (e.g., its reliability, reproducibility, and validity) to recent RSFC applications. An accumulating body of evidence suggests that R-fNIRS is a valid tool for the exploration of RSFC in normal and diseased conditions. It is certain that more research will be needed before R-fNIRS can be used in comprehensive and reliable investigations of functional brain connectivity patterns. These efforts are introducing new avenues of research on the organizational mechanisms of the brain that will be of interest to all basic scientists and clinical researchers.
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