https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhae204 Advance access publication date 20 May 2024 Original Article

Development of neonatal connectome dynamics and its prediction for cognitive and language outcomes at age 2

Yuehua Xu^{1,2,3,4}, Xuhong Liao^{1,3,*}, Tianyuan Lei^{2,3,4}, Miao Cao⁵, Jianlong Zhao^{2,3,4}, Jiaying Zhang^{2,3,4}, Tengda Zhao^{2,3,4},

Qiongling Li^{2,3,4}, Tina Jeon⁶, Minhui Ouyang^{6,7}, Lina Chalak⁸, Nancy Rollins⁹, Hao Huang^{6,7,*}, Yong He^{2,3,4,10,*}

¹School of Systems Science, Beijing Normal University, No. 19 Xinjiekouwai Street, Beijing 100875, China

²State Key Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience and Learning, Beijing Normal University, No. 19 Xinjiekouwai Street, Beijing 100875, China

³Beijing Key Laboratory of Brain Imaging and Connectomics, Beijing Normal University, No. 19 Xinjiekouwai Street, Beijing 100875, China

⁴IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Beijing Normal University, No. 19 Xinjiekouwai Street, Beijing 100875, China

⁵Institution of Science and Technology for Brain-Inspired Intelligence, Fudan University, No. 220 Handan Road, Shanghai 200433, China

⁶Department of Radiology, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, 3401 Civic Center Blvd, Philadelphia, PA 19104, United States

⁷Department of Radiology, University of Pennsylvania, 3400 Spruce Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104, United States

⁸Department of Pediatrics, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, 5323 Harry Hines Blvd, Dallas, TX 75390, United States

⁹Department of Radiology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, 5323 Harry Hines Blvd, Dallas, TX 75390, United States

¹⁰Chinese Institute for Brain Research, No. 26 Kexueyuan Road, Beijing 102206, China

*Corresponding authors. Xuhong Liao, School of Systems Science, Beijing Normal University, No. 19 Xinjiekouwai Street, Beijing 100875, China. E-mail: liaoxuhong@bnu.edu.cn; Hao Huang, Department of Radiology, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, 3401 Civic Center Blvd, Philadelphia PA 19104, USA. E-mail: huangh6@email.chop.edu; Yong He, State Key Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience and Learning, Beijing Normal University, No. 19 Xinjiekouwai Street, Beijing 100875, China. E-mail: yong.he@bnu.edu.cn

The functional brain connectome is highly dynamic over time. However, how brain connectome dynamics evolves during the third trimester of pregnancy and is associated with later cognitive growth remains unknown. Here, we use resting-state functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) data from 39 newborns aged 32 to 42 postmenstrual weeks to investigate the maturation process of connectome dynamics and its role in predicting neurocognitive outcomes at 2 years of age. Neonatal brain dynamics is assessed using a multilayer network model. Network dynamics decreases globally but increases in both modularity and diversity with development. Regionally, module switching decreases with development primarily in the lateral precentral gyrus, medial temporal lobe, and subcortical areas, with a higher growth rate in primary regions than in association regions. Support vector regression reveals that neonatal connectome dynamics is predictive of individual cognitive and language abilities at 2 years of age. Our findings highlight network-level neural substrates underlying early cognitive development.

Key words: connectomics; resting state fMRI; network module; individual difference; neonate.

Introduction

The third trimester is a critical neurodevelopmental stage for the human brain (Rakic 1972, 1995; Tau and Peterson 2010). During this period, the human brain undergoes explosive growth in both structure and function, laying the foundations for cognitive and behavioral development in later life (Cao et al. 2017a; Gilmore et al. 2018; Zhao et al. 2019; Ouyang et al. 2019a). At the microscopic level, the rapid and abundant neural migration, synaptogenesis, and axon growth foster specific neural circuits (Tau and Peterson 2010; Kostovic et al. 2019), supporting primary sensorimotor functions and higher cognitive skills (Dehaene-Lambertz and Spelke 2015). At the macroscopic level, functional connectome mapping studies based on resting-state fMRI (rs-fMRI) have revealed the remarkable reconfiguration of the inter-regional functional connectivity patterns. Specifically, the community structure, which comprises functionally specific and interacting modules, has been observed in the functional connectome of fetuses in utero (Thomason et al. 2014) and preterm and term infants (Cao et al. 2017b). This community structure is supposed to facilitate efficient functional segregation and integration at low wiring costs (Sporns and Betzel 2016). The primary visual, auditory, and sensorimotor modules show adultlike patterns before birth, while the higher-order default-mode and frontoparietal modules exhibit prolonged development after birth (Fransson et al. 2007; Fransson et al. 2009; Doria et al. 2010; Smyser et al. 2010; Cao et al. 2017b). The development of these modular structures promotes functionally segregated processing of the baby brains and makes the functional connectome toward a more organized pattern (Cao et al. 2017b). These findings provide valuable insights into the emergence and development of brain network modules during the third trimester.

Despite the rich evidence on the prenatal development of functional connectomes, most of the previous connectome research has primarily focused on the static (i.e. time-constant) functional networks, largely ignoring the time-varying dynamic patterns of functional connectomes. The human brain is a highly dynamic system in nature. Accumulating evidence indicates that the interregional functional coordination at rest spontaneously fluctuates at a time scale of seconds or minutes (Hutchison et al. 2013; Liao et al. 2015; Preti et al. 2017). The modular architecture in adult connectomes undergoes dynamic reconfiguration over short timescales. In particular, the frequent temporal switching between modules is mainly located in the lateral frontoparietal regions (Liao et al. 2017; Pedersen et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2020). This connectome dynamics maintains efficient communication between network modules (Zalesky et al. 2014) and facilitates a rapid response to potential or ongoing cognitive demands

(Barbey 2018; Khambhati et al. 2018; Uddin 2021). Meanwhile, the connectome dynamics varies across individuals (Liao et al. 2017; Liu et al. 2020) and captures individual differences in behavioral and cognitive performance, such as learning capacity (Bassett et al. 2011), executive function (Braun et al. 2015), and cognitive flexibility (Pedersen et al. 2018). Exploring the emergence and development of connectome dynamics is crucial for understanding how the brain network develops from the dynamic perspective. Some recent studies have begun to explore the functional connectome dynamics from birth to 2 years of age (Huang et al. 2020; Wen et al. 2020; Yin et al. 2020). These studies reported that the connectivity variability of higher-order brain systems increases with age while the variability of the primary systems decrease with age (Wen et al. 2020). Neural flexibility, in terms of modular switching, also increases with age, and neural flexibility at 3 months of age is negatively correlated with cognitive ability at approximately 5 years of age (Yin et al. 2020). However, how the connectome dynamics develops before birth and whether this early development shapes neurocognitive outcomes later in life remain to be elucidated.

To address these issues, we analyzed rs-fMRI data from 39 preterm and full-term infants scan aged from 32 to 42 postmenstrual weeks to examine the functional connectome dynamics during the prenatal stage. We further explored the potential associations between the connectome dynamics at birth and future neurocognitive outcomes at around 2 years of age. Specifically, we detected the time-varying modular architecture for each infant using the multilayer modularity framework, which can incorporate the connectivity information between adjacent time points (Mucha et al. 2010). We further used modular variability (MV) (Liao et al. 2017) to quantify how brain nodes switch between modules over time. We hypothesized that the network module dynamics would present distinct developmental changes between primary and higher-order systems during the third trimester and predict the neurocognitive outcomes at age 2 years old.

Materials and methods Participants

We employed rs-fMRI data from 52 healthy preterm and fullterm infants. These neonates were recruited for research purposes from Parkland Memorial Hospital and underwent MRI scans at Children's Medical Center in Dallas. The initial cohort comprised 52 neonates (37 male/15 female; postmenstrual ages at birth: 25.1-41.0 wk; postmenstrual ages at scan: 31.9-41.7 wk). None of these infants were clinically indicated, which means that they were considered healthy in routine medical care and had no medical reasons to be scanned with MRI. In our project, they were recruited solely to study the prenatal and perinatal human brain development, and the rs-fMRI scans were performed at the age of 31 to 42 postmenstrual weeks. Specifically, these infants were carefully selected through rigorous screening procedures by a board-certified neonatologist (LC) and an experienced pediatric radiologist (NR), based on the ultrasound and clinical MRI of infants, as well as the medical records of the infants and their mothers. Infants with abnormalities in MRI images identified by the neuroradiologist were excluded from the analysis in our study. Other exclusion criteria for the study included evidence of bleeding or intracranial abnormality detected by serial sonography, excessive drug or alcohol abuse of the mother during pregnancy, periventricular leukomalacia, hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy, Grade III-IV intraventricular hemorrhage, body or heart malformations, chromosomal abnormalities, lung disease or bronchopulmonary dysplasia, necrotizing enterocolitis

requiring intestinal resection or complex feeding/nutritional disorders, defects or anomalies in the brain, brain tissue dysplasia or hypoplasia, abnormal meninges, alterations in the pial or ventricular surface, or white matter lesions. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center. Informed parental consents were obtained from all the infants. The dataset has been used in previous studies to explore the developmental rules of the brain structure and function during the third trimester (Cao et al. 2017b; Xu et al. 2019; Ouyang et al. 2020). After excluding 13 infants due to excessive motion artifacts (see Image data preprocessing Section), we finally included rs-fMRI data from 39 infants with postmenstrual ages ranging from 31.9 to 41.7 wk at the time of the scan (Fig. 1A). The demographic data of the infants is described in Table 1.

Neurocognitive assessments

In this study, 26 of the 39 neonates (22 preterm and 4 term infants) were assessed with the Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development III (Bayley 2006) at approximately 2 years of age, corrected for prematurity (age_{corr}: Mean \pm SD: 23.1 \pm 1.6 mo, 20.3–26.9 mo) (Fig. 1A). The Bayley-III test comprises five scales: cognitive, language (expressive and receptive language), and motor (gross and fine motor) scales for infants, as well as social-emotional and adaptive scales from parents' interviews. The cognitive scale of the Bayley-III test assesses sensorimotor development, concept formation, memory, simple problemsolving, and reasoning skills (Bayley 2006). The language scale of the Bayley-III test includes two subsets: receptive and expressive communication, which measures the child's ability to understand and use spoken language to follow instructions, label, or recognize objects and people based on spoken descriptions (Bayley 2006). The motor scale from the Bayley-III test evaluates both gross and motor skills, such as visual tracking, reaching objects, and the child's ability to keep balance and jump (Bayley 2006). The neurocognitive assessments were conducted by a certified neurodevelopmental psychologist. The neurocognitive outcomes of the infants in this study are described in Table 1.

Imaging data acquisitions

All infants were well fed and had fallen asleep before the MRI scanning. The earplugs, earphones, and extra foam padding for the sleeping infants to reduce the sound of the scanner. The MRI scans were performed during natural sleep without sedation. Images were acquired using a Philips 3 T Achieva MR scanner with an 8-channel SENSE head coil at the Children's Medical Center at Dallas. The rs-fMRI scans were obtained using a T2weighted gradient-echo EPI sequence: repetition time = 1500 ms, echo time=27 ms, flip angle=80°, in-plane imaging resolution = 2.4×2.4 mm², in-plane field of view = 168×168 mm², slice thickness = 3 mm with no gap, and slice number = 30. A total of 210 whole-brain EPI volumes were acquired. A T2weighted structural image was acquired with a turbo spinecho sequence: repetition time = 3000 ms, echo time = 80 ms, in-plane imaging resolution = 1.5×1.5 mm², in-plane field of view = 168×168 mm², slice thickness = 1.6 mm with no gap, and slice number = 65. The acquired T2-weighted image was zerofilled to a 256 × 256 image matrix.

Imaging data preprocessing

The rs-fMRI images were preprocessed using toolboxes of Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM12, http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/ spm), GRETNA (Wang et al. 2015), and DPARSFA (Yan and Zang 2010). We first discarded the first 15 volumes to allow for the



Fig. 1. Schematic diagrams of data information and the analysis pipeline. A) Distribution of age information for all participating infants. The infants underwent MRI scanning shortly after birth, and some of them underwent the Bayley test around 2 years of age. B) Overview of the multilayer network framework and MV. In addition to intralayer connections, each node is linked to the identical nodes in the adjacent 2 windows. Nodal colors indicate their module assignments over time. The nodes with high MV tend to frequently switch between modules, and vice versa. C) Flowchart of developmental changes and behavior prediction analysis. We used individual MV maps of infants at birth to predict cognitive, language, and motor abilities at 2 years of age with SVR model and 10-fold cross-validation.

Table 1.	Demographic	information a	and neurocognitive	outcomes of	participating infants.

0 1					
	Characteristics	Mean \pm SD (range)			
Imaging	Age at birth (wk)ª	33.0 ± 4.5 (25.0–40.9)			
$(n = 39)^{-1}$	Age at scan (wk) ^a	36.9 ± 2.7 (31.9-41.7)			
	Male	28 (72%) ^c			
	Time from birth to scan (d)	27.3 ± 26.8 (0-106)			
Bayley III	Age at test (mo) ^b	23.2±1.6 (20.3-26.9)			
(n = 26)	Cognitive scale	86.7±8.4 (70-110)			
	Language scale	87.5 ± 9.1 (71–112)			
	Motor scale	91.1±7.3 (73–100)			

^aPostmenstrual age in weeks. ^bChronological age corrected for prematurity. ^cFraction of infants among the whole population. wk, week; d, day; mo, month.

signal to reach a steady state, retaining 195 time points for each infant. The remaining functional data were corrected for the time delay between slices and head motion between volumes. We calculated the framewise displacement (FD) (Power et al. 2012) for each volume (i.e. time point) of the rs-fMRI scan, which measures the relative head motion of 1 volume compared to the previous volume. The average FD across volumes was used to evaluate the extent of head motion for the whole rs-fMRI scan. At this stage, data from 12 infants were excluded due to large head motion with criteria of displacement > 5 mm, rotation > 5°, or mean FD > 1 mm. Next, the individual functional data were

spatially normalized to a customized template in 2 steps. First, the individual functional data were coregistered with their corresponding high-resolution T2-weighted structural images using a linear transformation. Then, the individual T2-weighted images were nonlinearly registered to a 37-wk brain template (Serag et al. 2012), which corresponds to the average age for all participants. The customized template was then generated by averaging the resultant normalized T2-weighted structural images of all infants and was used as the template for the second registration of individual T2-weighted images. The aligned functional data were spatially normalized to the customized template by applying the transformation parameters estimated during the second registration of T2-weighted images and were resampled to 3-mm isotropic voxels. In addition, prior templates of the cortex, deep gray matter, white matter, and cerebrospinal fluid tissue templates constructed at 37 wk (Serag et al. 2012) were also registered to the customized template to generate the corresponding tissue masks. Next, the normalized functional imaging data underwent spatially smoothing with a Gaussian kernel (full width at halfmaximum of 4 mm) and linear detrend. We further performed the nuisance regression to reduce the effects of head motion and other non-neural signals, including Friston's 24 head motion parameters (Friston et al. 1996), white matter and cerebrospinal fluid signals, and the global signals. To further control the influence of head motion, we employed the spike-based scrubbing strategy during the nuisance regression procedure (Yan et al. 2013; Power et al. 2014). Here, the spike regressors were defined based on bad volumes with FD above 0.5 mm and their adjacent volumes (1 back and 2 forward). Here, 1 infant was further excluded because half of the volumes of this infant were bad volumes. Finally, a temporally bandpass filter (0.01 to 0.08 Hz) was applied to the residual time series.

Construction of the dynamic functional connectome

For each infant, we constructed the dynamic functional connectome as follows. First, we defined network nodes via a customized random parcellation, which was obtained by parcellating the gray matter tissue into 256 regions with uniform sizes (Zalesky et al. 2010). Next, the commonly used sliding-window approach was employed to estimate the dynamic functional connectivity between nodal regions (Hutchison et al. 2013; Lurie et al. 2020). The time-dependent functional correlation matrix was estimated as the Pearson's correlation between the time series of brain nodes within each window. The window length was set as 40 TRs (i.e. 60 s) and shifted with a step of 1 TR (i.e. 1.5 s), resulting in a total number of 156 windows. Then, we removed the negative correlation values due to their ambiguous physiological interpretations (Fox et al. 2009; Murphy and Fox 2017) and generated the dynamic weighted functional network by thresholding each windowed correlation matrix with a fixed density of 15%. We also assessed the potential effects of different sliding window lengths (i.e. 100 s) and various network densities (i.e. 10% and 20%) on the main results (see Validation analysis Section).

Characterizing the functional module dynamics

To track the time-varying functional modular architecture, we used a multilayer network framework (Mucha et al. 2010) to incorporate the functional connectivity information in different time windows (Fig. 1B). Under this framework, brain nodes in each window were not only connected with nodes in the same time window but also connected with themselves in the 2 adjacent time windows. The time-varying functional modular architecture was identified by optimizing the multilayer modularity index (Q), which is defined as follows:

$$Q(\gamma,\omega) = \frac{1}{2\mu} \sum_{ijsr} \left[\left(A_{ijs} - \gamma_s \frac{k_{is}k_{js}}{2m_s} \right) \delta(s,r) + \delta(i,j) \omega_{jrs} \right] \times \delta(M_{is}, M_{jr}),$$
(1)

where i and j represent nodal labels and s and r represent layer labels. The variable μ denotes the total connectivity strength of the multilayer network, including both the intra-layer and interlayer connectivity strengths. For the functional network in layer s, the A_{ijs} represents the functional connectivity strength between node *i* and node *j*, $\frac{k_{is}k_{js}}{2m_s}$ represents the connection probability expected by chance between node *i* and node *j* in layer *s*, and M_{is} denotes the module assignments of node *i* in layer *s*. The functional δ (M_{is}, M_{jr}) is the Kronecker function that equals 1 if the 2 variables M_{is} and M_{jr} are equal and equals 0 otherwise. The topological resolution parameter γ determines the spatial resolution of the intra-layer module structure, and the temporal coupling parameter ω controls the strength of the inter-layer coupling. In our main analysis, the topological resolution parameter γ and the temporal coupling parameter ω were set as the default values with $\gamma = \omega = 1$. The choices of other values were also assessed (see Validation analysis Section). The multilayer community was detected by using the Genlouvain MATLAB package (http://netwiki.amath. unc.edu/GenLouvain).

Then, we employed a measure of MV to quantify how each nodal region changes its modular affiliations across time windows (Liao et al. 2017). The larger the MV is, the more frequently a brain node switches among modules (Fig. 1B). Specifically, the MV of a node was assessed by the spatial dissimilarity between the functional modules to which this node was assigned at different time windows. For a given node *i*, the MV of this node between two windows k and l was calculated as follows:

$$MV_{i}(k, l) = 1 - \frac{|M_{i}(k) \cap M_{i}(l)|}{|M_{i}(k)|} \cdot \frac{|M_{i}(k) \cap M_{i}(l)|}{|M_{i}(l)|},$$
(2)

where $M_i(k)$ and $M_i(l)$ represent the module affiliations of node *i* in windows *k* and *l*, respectively, $|M_i(k)|$ denotes the number of nodes included in module $M_i(k)$, and $|M_i(k) \cap M_i(l)|$ denotes the number of common nodes included in module $M_i(k)$ and module $M_i(l)$. We estimated the total MV of node *i* across all the time windows (Liao et al. 2017) as:

$$MV_i = \sum_{k=1}^{T} w_k M V_i(k), \qquad (3)$$

where T represents the number of time windows and $MV_i(k) = \frac{1}{T-1} \sum_{l \neq k} MV_i(k, l)$ denotes the average MV of node i between window k and all the other windows. Here, we used a normalized weighed coefficient w_k to reduce the bias of potential outlier time windows, which was estimated using adjusted mutual information (Vinh et al. 2010). Given the heuristic uncertainty of the modularity optimization algorithm (Mucha et al. 2010), we repeated the module detection processes 100 times. The modularity index and MV values used for the subsequent analysis were obtained as the averaged values across 100 instances.

Statistical analysis

To detect age effects on global and nodal dynamic properties, we used the general linear model analysis to quantify the relationship between each dynamic measure and the postmenstrual age as follows:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times age + \beta_2 \times sex + \beta_3 \times mFD + \beta_4 \times age_{scan-birth}.$$
 (4)

In this model, variable Y denotes the global or nodal dynamic property of interest. We also included three covariates into the model, including sex, mean FD (mFD), and the time interval between birth and the scan. To illustrate the developmental effects, fitted values of global and nodal measures were also estimated from the general linear model corrected for the influence of these three covariates. The significant age effect was identified with a significance level of P < 0.05. For the nodal analysis, we performed the false discovery rate (FDR) method (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995) to correct for multiple comparisons across nodes.

Clustering analysis of regional developmental rates of module dynamics

Considering that the development of the module dynamics is spatially heterogeneous, we used a data-driven k-means clustering method (Seber 2009) to classify the network nodes with similar developmental trajectories. For each network node, the age-related beta value (i.e. β_1 value in (4)) that represents the development rate was used as the feature of clustering analysis. The distance between any two brain nodes was defined as the absolute differences between their developmental rates. This analysis was repeated with the cluster number varying from 2 to 8, separately. The silhouette value was used to determine the optimal cluster number (Rousseeuw 1987).

Prediction of neurocognitive outcomes using module dynamics

We further investigated whether the network module dynamics at birth could serve as a biomarker for predicting future neurocognitive outcomes (Fig. 1C). Briefly, we trained a support vector regression (SVR) model with a linear kernel (Chang and Lin 2011) to separately predict the individual's cognitive, language, and motor scores obtained from the Bayley-III test of each infant at 2 years old. The nodal MV values at birth were input as features. To evaluate the predictive performance, we employed the 10-fold cross-validation strategy. In the 10-fold cross-validation, the data from all infants were divided into 10 subsets. Specifically, we sorted the infants according to the outcome (i.e. age at scan) and ensured the average age for each subset was nearly the same. During each iteration, we used the SVR model derived from the training data (i.e. data from 9 subsets) to predict the Bayley score of the remaining test subset. To assess the prediction accuracy, we calculated a partial correlation coefficient between the actual and predicted scores, controlling for age at scan time, sex, mean FD, and the time interval between birth and the scan. We also included a measure of mean absolute error (MAE) to address the population-level prediction errors between the predicted and actual scores. The statistical significance of the prediction performance (i.e. the partial correlation coefficient and MAE) was assessed by permutation tests (n = 10,000). During each permutation instance, we shuffled the Bayley scores across infants before the SVR analysis and re-estimated the partial correlation between the actual and predicted scores. To assess the prediction contribution of all nodes, we re-trained a new SVR model by including all the infants, and the contribution weight for each brain node was defined as the absolute value of its weight (Cui and Gong 2018). The SVR model was conducted using the LIBSVM toolbox for MATLAB, with default settings (https://www.csie.ntu. edu.tw/~cjlin/libsvm/).

To ensure that our results were not biased by the specific crossvalidation division, we performed 1,000 random 10-fold crossvalidations. In each random instance, the infants were randomly divided into 10 subsets to re-perform the SVR model training and prediction. The predicted score of each measure for each infant was obtained by averaging the prediction values across the 1,000 instances. Similarly, the corresponding MAE value was obtained by averaging the MAE values across the 1,000 instances. The partial correlation values were then calculated between the actual and predicted scores to represent the overall prediction accuracy. The statistical significance of the prediction performance was assessed by permutation tests with 10-fold cross-validations (n = 10,000). During each permutation test, the infants were randomly divided into 10 folds, and the Bayley scores were also randomly shuffled. Finally, we compared the partial correlation values and MAE across 1,000 instances to the null distribution.

Validation analysis

To investigate the reliability of our findings, we evaluated the potential influence of different data preprocessing and analysis strategies, including variations in the sliding window length, network density, multilayer network parameters, a different brain parcellation, and a stricter head motion control. During the validation analysis, all the analysis strategies and parameters were kept the same, except for the strategy/parameter of interest.

(i) Sliding window length. The optimal selection of sliding window length remains unclear. In the main analysis, we used a recommended length of 60 s to reliably capture the temporal variations in functional networks (Lurie et al. 2020). For validation, we set the window length as 100 s to reconstruct the dynamic network and repeated the analysis.

(ii) Network density. We reconstructed the dynamic functional networks with different network densities, including 10% and 20%, separately.

(iii) Multilayer network parameters. We evaluated the potential influence by selecting different sets of the temporal parameter ω ($\omega = 0.5$ and 0.75) and the topological parameter γ ($\gamma = 0.9$). When 1 parameter was reset, the other parameter was retained as the default value (i.e. 1).

(iv) Brain parcellation. Here, we defined brain nodes using a customized random brain parcellation comprising of 256 regions (Zalesky et al. 2010). To evaluate where the main results were biased by a specific random parcellation, we regenerated the dynamical functional networks based on another random parcellation comprising 256 nodes with uniform sizes.

(v) A stricter head motion control. The head motion of the rs-fMRI scan may affect the estimation of the dynamic functional connectivity and the dynamic network topology (Laumann et al. 2017; Liao et al. 2017). To further control the influence of head motion, we excluded infants using stricter head motion criteria, including displacements > 3 mm, rotation > 3°, or mean FD > 0.5 mm. Meanwhile, the spike regressors used in the nuisance regression were generated based on bad volumes with FD above 0.2 mm and their adjacent volumes (1 back and 2 forward). Infants who had "bad" volumes in more than 50% of the original data were also excluded.

According to the above exclusion criteria and the scrubbing method, rs-fMRI data from 6 infants were further excluded.

Results

Module dynamics decreased with development during the prenatal period

At the global level, we found that the modularity index Q of the time-varying modular architecture increased significantly with age (Fig. 2A, t = 4.97, P < 0.001), indicating increasing network segregation during the prenatal period. The global mean values of nodal module variability across the brain decreased significantly with age (Fig. 2A, t = -3.19, P = 0.003), whereas the corresponding standard deviation significantly increased with age (Fig. 2A, t = 3.55, P = 0.001), indicating the reduced modular switching and increased spatial heterogeneity with development. At the regional



Fig. 2. Developmental changes in MV during the third trimester. A) Age-related changes of modularity index Q, mean MV across the brain, and the corresponding standard deviation. Fitted global properties were estimated from the general linear model, which corrected for the influence of sex, mean FD, and the time interval between birth and the scan. B) MV maps from 32 to 41 wk. These maps displayed fitted nodal MV values, which were estimated from the general linear model corrected for the influence of sex, mean FD, and the time interval between birth and the scan. B) MV maps from 32 to 41 wk. These maps displayed fitted nodal MV values, which were estimated from the general linear model corrected for the influence of sex, mean FD, and the time interval between birth and scan. C) Age effects on regional MV. We only display regions that show significant age-related changes (P < 0.05, uncorrected). The solid curves delineate brain regions that remained significant with correction for multiple comparisons ($P_{FDR} < 0.05$). In B) and C), results were mapped onto the cortical surface using BrainNet viewer (Xia et al. 2013). PMA (wk), postmenstrual age in weeks; Q, modularity; MV, modular variability; SD, standard deviation; FD, framewise displacement; FDR, false discovery rate.

level, we obtained heterogeneous patterns of nodal MV by estimating the fitted module variability maps from 32 to 41 postmenstrual weeks (Fig. 2B), which corrected the influence of sex, mean FD, and the time interval between birth and scan. Lower MV was primarily located in the primary motor areas and the prefrontal cortex, whereas higher MV was mainly located in the lateral and medial frontal and parietal cortices, and the middle temporal gyrus, regardless of age. Quantitative analysis revealed significant age-related decreases in MV, which were mainly located in the supplementary motor area, precentral gyrus, superior parietal lobe, and medial temporal lobe (Fig. 2C. $P_{FDR} < 0.05$, areas delineated with yellow lines, correcting for multiple comparisons).

Divergent developmental rates between primary and higher-order systems

To delineate the divergent developmental profiles of nodal MV across the brain, we employed a k-means clustering method to identify nodal regions with similar developmental curves. We first estimated the inter-regional differences in the developmental rates of MV (Fig. 3A). A 2-cluster model was chosen because of its highest silhouette value (Fig. 3B). Cluster 1 primarily contained the sensorimotor areas, lateral occipital, lateral parietal, and subcortical regions (Fig. 3C). Cluster 2 primarily included the lateral and medial frontal regions, medial parietal and occipital regions, and lateral temporal cortex (Fig. 3C). Specifically, Cluster 1 showed a significantly higher developmental rate than Cluster 2 (t = -21.96, P < 0.001) (Fig. 3D), suggesting the differentiation of the developmental rate between the primary and higher-order systems. For each cluster, we showed the developmental profile of a representative node: the supplementary motor area from Cluster 1 showing significant age-related changes in MV (Fig. 3E, t = -3.83, P < 0.001) and one medial frontal node from Cluster 2 showing non-significant age-related changes in MV (Fig. 3E, $t\!=\!-1.15, P\!=\!0.257).$

Prediction of neurocognitive outcomes using module dynamics

We employed the SVR model with the 10F-CV strategy to evaluate whether the connectome dynamics at birth could serve as a biomarker for individualized prediction of neurocognitive outcomes. We found that individual-level module variability maps at birth significantly predicted both cognitive scores (Fig. 4A, r = 0.32, $P_{perm} = 0.021$; mean MAE = 5.31, $P_{perm} < 0.001$) and language scores (Fig. 4C, r = 0.43, $P_{perm} = 0.003$; mean MAE = 5.57, P_{perm} < 0.001) at 2 years old. Analysis with repeated random 10fold cross-validations (1,000 instances) revealed similar results (Fig. 4B, r = 0.31, $P_{perm} = 0.030$, mean MAE = 5.49, $P_{perm} < 0.001$ for cognition prediction; Fig. 4D, r=0.45, Pperm=0.004, mean MAE = 5.60, P_{perm} < 0.001 for language prediction). The brain nodes with high contributions to the cognitive score prediction were mainly located in several default-mode regions (e.g. lateral and medial prefrontal cortex, precuneus, and middle temporal gyrus), lateral and medial occipital cortex, and insula (Fig. 4A). The brain nodes with high contributions to the language score prediction were mainly located in the inferior frontal gyrus, supramarginal gyrus, superior temporal gyrus, lateral and medial occipital cortex, and insula (Fig. 4C). However, the motor score was not significantly predicted by the MV (r = 0.08, $P_{perm} = 0.269$).

Validation results

To investigate the reliability of our findings, we evaluated the potential effects of different data preprocessing and analysis strategies, including variations in the window length, network density, multilayer network parameters (Supplementary Fig. S1



Fig. 3. Clustering analysis is based on the developmental rates of nodal MV. A) Inter-nodal Euclidean distance matrix regarding developmental rates. B) Silhouette values for the clustering analysis with different clustering numbers. The optimal choice was observed with the 2-cluster model. C) Spatial locations of two clusters. Two representative nodes are labeled. D) Different developmental rates of nodal MV between 2 clusters. ***P < 0.001. E) Age-related changes for 2 representative nodes. One representative node was selected for each cluster. SMA, supplementary motor area; MFC, medial frontal area; MV, modular variability; PMA (wk), postmenstrual age in weeks.

and Table S1), a different brain parcellation (Supplementary Fig. S2), and a stricter head motion control (Supplementary Fig. S3). Our main findings remained largely unchanged under different analysis strategies. Of note, with the stricter head motion control, the developmental effects of the module dynamics showed similar trends with the main results but with lower significant levels, which may be attributed to the reduced number of infants included in the analysis. Interestingly, we found that in this case individual-level module variability maps at birth significantly predicted not only the cognitive and language scores but also the motor scores at 2 years of age (all $P_{\text{perm}}s < 0.05$) (Supplementary Fig. S3).

Discussion

In this study, we revealed the emergence and maturation of the brain connectome dynamics during the third trimester and their predictions on neurocognitive outcomes. Specifically, the network modular dynamics during the third trimester exhibited an adultlike spatial pattern, with higher modular switching in the highorder association cortex and lower modular switching in primary regions. Moreover, the modular dynamics became progressively more stable with development in a spatially heterogeneous manner, with significant decreases mainly in the primary regions, whereas limited changes in the higher-order regions. Finally, the MV at birth significantly predicted the cognitive and language scores at 2 years of age. Taken together, these findings provide new insights into brain connectome dynamics during the third trimester and network mechanisms for supporting cognitive and language development in later life.

Prior studies of healthy adults and children have demonstrated the time-varying functional modular architecture during the

resting state (Liao et al. 2017; Liu et al. 2020), which promotes efficient communications between networks (Zalesky et al. 2014) and a fast response to potential cognitive demands (Barbey 2018; Uddin 2021). Compared with these studies, we extended these findings to an earlier stage of life, specifically the third trimester. The heterogeneous spatial pattern of the module dynamics is similar to those observed in infants (Wen et al. 2020; Yin et al. 2020), adolescents (Lei et al. 2022), and adults (Liao et al. 2017; Liu et al. 2020). Moreover, we found that the modularity of the functional network increased with age, while the module dynamics decreased with age, which indicates reduced dynamic communications at the system level. Similar results have also been observed in a recent study regarding module dynamics development in children and early adolescence (i.e. 6 to 14 years old) (Lei et al. 2022). By leveraging a large longitudinal rs-fMRI dataset and a similar functional network analysis framework, Lei et al. (2022) found that the module dynamics in the functional network decreased with age, mainly involving the transmodal and sensorimotor regions. Furthermore, the developmental changes of module dynamics mediate the agerelated increase of global network segregation. By synthesizing our results and those in the previous research (Lei et al. 2022), we may speculate the module dynamics continues to decrease from the neonatal period to through adolescence to enhance functional segregation. Recent task-related studies suggest that functional network segregation is enhanced during short-term learning to improve task automation (Bassett et al. 2015; Finc et al. 2020). Thus, it is reasonable to assume that the gradual functional specialization of brain regions and networks during development likely underlies the neurocognitive developments (Johnson 2011; Battista et al. 2018). However, we should also note that an inverse trend has been observed in another study,



Fig. 4. Neurocognitive outcomes prediction based on nodal MV at birth. A) Prediction of individual's Bayley cognition scores at 2 years of age. Left panel, the data point represents the partial correlation between actual scores and predicted scores, corrected for effects of sex, mean FD, and the time interval between birth and scan. The inset histogram shows the distribution of prediction accuracy from the permutation test (n = 10,000). The 10F-CV was conducted by splitting all infants into 10 subsets that were matched on age at the scan. The right panel shows the absolute contribution weight of nodal regions in the cognition prediction SVR model. B) Prediction of individual cognition scores with repeated random 10-fold cross-validations (1,000 instances). C) Prediction of individual's Bayley language scores at 2 years of age. Left panel, the data point displays the partial correlation between actual scores and predicted scores, corrected for effects of sex, mean FD, and the time interval between birth and scan. The inset histogram shows the distribution of prediction of individual's Bayley language scores at 2 years of age. Left panel, the data point displays the partial correlation between actual scores and predicted scores, corrected for effects of sex, mean FD, and the time interval between birth and scan. The inset histogram shows the distribution of prediction accuracy from the permutation test (n = 10,000). The 10F-CV was conducted by splitting all infants into 10 subsets that were matched on age at the scan. The right panel shows the absolute contribution weight of nodal regions in the language prediction SVR model. D) Prediction of individual language scores with repeated random 10-fold cross-validations (1,000 instances). SVR, support vector regression; FD, framewise displacement.

which found that regional module switching (i.e. flexibility) showed significant increases with age during the first 2 years of life (Yin et al. 2020). The divergences across studies may be attributable to the application of different network construction strategies (i.e. absolute correlation thresholding versus fixed-density thresholding), which warrants further investigation.

Compared with the limited changes in association areas, we found that the primary areas showed higher developmental rates (i.e. significant decreases) in the connectome dynamics during the prenatal period. The divergent developmental rules across regions have also been observed for connectome dynamics in the early postnatal period (Wen et al. 2020; Yin et al. 2020). From birth to 2 years of age, the higher-order functional systems showed increased network switching with age, while the primary functional systems exhibited different developmental trends (Wen et al. 2020; Yin et al. 2020). These divergent developmental patterns across the brain may be attributed to the earlier maturation of the primary areas compared to the higher-order functional related brain regions (Gao et al. 2017; Cao et al. 2017a). Static functional network studies have revealed that the sensorimotor, visual, and auditory networks showed adult-like patterns in preterm and full-term newborns, while the dorsal attention, default-mode, and frontoparietal networks are still immature at the age of 1 year and only become functionally connected in later years (Gao et al. 2015a; Gao et al. 2015b). Diffusion MRI studies have indicated that the cortical microstructure of primary sensory and motor regions matures earlier than the higher-order associative cortices (Deipolyi et al. 2005; Yu et al. 2016; Ouyang et al. 2019a; Ouyang et al. 2019b). Histological studies have also demonstrated that developmental events occur at different timing across brain regions (Tau and Peterson 2010), wherein lamination and synaptogenesis begin earlier in the primary sensory and motor areas, and later in the prefrontal cortex (Huttenlocher 1984, 1990; Kostovic et al. 2019). All these evidences suggest the earlier development and maturation of the primary regions, which may support the basic functions for early survival in early life.

The human brain undergoes explosive growth during infancy, which is deemed to lay the critical foundation for motor, language, and cognitive development in later life (Cao et al. 2017a; Gilmore et al. 2018). Recently, a growing body of research with classical statistical methods has demonstrated the association between the intrinsic functional brain networks of infants and a broad range of cognitive abilities and behavior development later in life (Alcauter et al. 2014; Graham et al. 2016). Revealing the relationships between dynamic connectome and behavior development offers a novel insight into understanding the underlying brain network foundations for neurodevelopmental outcomes. Employing a machine learning algorithm, we found that the neurocognitive outcomes at 2 years of age can be predicted by the connectome dynamics at birth, suggesting a crucial role of module dynamics for future cognitive and language developments. Brain regions with large prediction contributions to cognitive scores were primarily located in the regions that have been associated with high-level cognitive functions. The lateral and medial frontal cortices are involved in high-order cognitive processes, such as memory, attention, and decision-making (Miller and Cohen 2001).

The precuneus is the core region of the default-mode network and is involved in self-awareness, episodic memory, executive functions, and so on (Buckner and DiNicola 2019). Brain regions with a high prediction contribution to language scores were mainly located in the inferior frontal gyrus, supramarginal gyrus, and insular cortex. The inferior frontal gyrus and the supramarginal gyrus, known as Broca's area and Wernicke's area, respectively, play vital roles in language processing, such as language production, speech processing, and language comprehension (Hickok and Poeppel 2007; Hagoort 2014). Interestingly, the insular cortex showed a large prediction contribution to both cognitive and language scales. The insular cortex is an integration hub that connects with extensive cortical and subcortical regions, which is primarily involved in sensory, motor control, socio-emotional, language processing, and cognitive functions (Oh et al. 2014; Alcauter et al. 2015; Gogolla 2017). More interestingly, these regions were also the top contributors to predicting cognitive and language outcomes in a different study from our group that used diffusion MRI-based cortical microstructure measurements as features in a largely overlapped cohort (Ouyang et al. 2020). However, the motor scores were not significantly predicted from individual MV maps, which may be due to the relatively low inter-individual variability of functional organization in primary motor areas associated with motor function. In a previous study, we found that the brain functional networks of these infants showed lower inter-individual variability in primary sensorimotor areas and higher variability in association regions (Xu et al. 2019), which may result in the divergent capability of brain regions to capture individualized features. Higher variability in the association regions may promote the reliable prediction of high-order cognitions, whereas lower variability in the sensorimotor areas may hinder the prediction of motor outcomes. Interestingly, we observed a significant prediction of the motor scores with a stricter head motion control, suggesting the head motion control may allow for better capture of individual differences in the functional organization of the sensorimotor regions.

Several issues need to be further addressed in future research. First, preterm birth is a syndrome resulting from multiple causes, including infection or inflammation, uteroplacental ischemia or hemorrhage, and uterine overdistension (Goldenberg et al. 2008). These conditions may influence brain development in fetuses. For instance, maternal inflammation activation and perinatal infection in infants have been associated with alterations in synaptogenesis and neural circuits, potentially leading to neurodevelopmental disorders (Jiang et al. 2018). Nevertheless, due to the challenge in the utero scanning of fetuses, MRI examination of preterm infants is widely used as a substitute model to understand brain functional, structural, and physiological changes during the third trimester (Ball et al. 2013; Ball et al. 2014; Ouyang et al. 2017; Cao et al. 2017b; Ouyang et al. 2019b). In this study, we have carefully selected the subject infants according to their ultrasound scan, clinical MRI scan, and the medical records of the infants and their mothers to ensure that they were considered healthy in routine medical care. To reduce the impact of the ex-utero environmental factors, we have tried to scan all the infants as soon as possible after birth to approximate the prenatal brain state with the same postmenstrual age. When assessing the age effects, we have also included the time interval between the birth and scan in the general linear model to reduce the potential influence of postnatal development. Despite these efforts, the impact of preterm birth and the ex-utero environmental factors on the development of preterm infants cannot be completely

removed. It is essential to further verify our findings once high-quality fetal imaging studies become accessible, as they can provide a more direct understanding of brain development in utero (van den Heuvel and Thomason 2016). Second, different sleep states among infants during the rs-fMRI scanning may introduce bias into our findings. In this study, all the infants were well-fed and imaged as soon as possible after falling asleep, which would minimize the differences in sleep states across infants. Third, our study has a small sample size because scanning neonates without sedation is very challenging. We believe that with the data release of the Baby Connectome Project (Howell et al. 2019) and the developing Human Connectome Project (Fitzgibbon et al. 2020), our prediction model could be further verified with these new infant neuroimaging datasets (Scheinost et al. 2022). Finally, previous studies on adults have shown that dynamic functional connectivity is structurally constrained by white matter tracts (Liao et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2016). The development of static functional connectivity is tightly coupled with regional cerebral blood flow, which delivers nutrients to different brain regions (Liang et al. 2013; Yu et al. 2023). However, how anatomical substrates (e.g. cortical morphology and whitematter structural connectivity) and brain blood supply contribute to the development of the connectome dynamics warrants further investigation.

Author contributions

Yuehua Xu (designed research, performed the data analysis, wrote the paper, revised the paper), Xuhong Liao (designed research, wrote the paper, revised the paper), Hao Huang (designed research, collected the imaging and neurocognitive outcome datasets, revised the paper), Yong He (designed research, provided the methodological instruction, wrote the paper, revised the paper), Tina Jeon (collected the imaging and neurocognitive outcome datasets), Minhui Ouyang (collected the imaging and neurocognitive outcome datasets, revised the paper), Lina Chalak (collected the imaging and neurocognitive outcome datasets), Nancy Rollins (collected the imaging and neurocognitive outcome datasets), Tianyuan Lei (provided the methodological instruction, performed the data analysis), Miao Cao (provided the methodological instruction), Jianlong Zhao (provided the methodological instruction), Jiaying Zhang (provided the methodological instruction), Tengda Zhao (provided the methodological instruction), and Qiongling Li (provided the methodological instruction).

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at Cerebral Cortex online.

Funding

This work was supported by Science, Technology and Innovation (STI) 2030-Major Projects (2021ZD0201700), the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 82102131, 31830034, 81971690, 82021004, 82202245), the Tang Scholar Award of Beijing Normal University, and National Institute of Health (Grant Nos. R01MH092535, R01MH125333, R01EB031284, R01MH129981, R21MH123930, and P50HD105354).

Conflict of interest statement: None declared.

Data availability

MRI datasets of infants are publicly available and can be freely downloaded from brainmrimap.org (a public website maintained by Huang Lab). The data and codes of this study are available at https://github.com/xuyh222/neonatal-connectome-dynamicspredicts-neurocognitive-outcome.

References

- Alcauter S, Lin W, Smith JK, Short SJ, Goldman BD, Reznick JS, Gilmore JH, Gao W. Development of thalamocortical connectivity during infancy and its cognitive correlations. J Neurosci. 2014:34(27):9067–9075. https://doi.org/10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.0796-14.2014.
- Alcauter S, Lin W, Keith Smith J, Gilmore JH, Gao W. Consistent anterior-posterior segregation of the insula during the first 2 years of life. *Cereb Cortex*. 2015:25(5):1176–1187. https://doi. org/10.1093/cercor/bht312.
- Ball G, Srinivasan L, Aljabar P, Counsell SJ, Durighel G, Hajnal JV, Rutherford MA, Edwards AD. Development of cortical microstructure in the preterm human brain. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013:110(23):9541–9546. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1301652110.
- Ball G, Aljabar P, Zebari S, Tusor N, Arichi T, Merchant N, Robinson EC, Ogundipe E, Rueckert D, Edwards AD, et al. Rich-club organization of the newborn human brain. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2014:111(20):7456–7461. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1324118111.
- Barbey AK. Network neuroscience theory of human intelligence. Trends Cogn Sci. 2018:22(1):8–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. tics.2017.10.001.
- Bassett DS, Wymbs NF, Porter MA, Mucha PJ, Carlson JM, Grafton ST. Dynamic reconfiguration of human brain networks during learning. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2011:108(18):7641–7646. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1018985108.
- Bassett DS, Yang M, Wymbs NF, Grafton ST. Learning-induced autonomy of sensorimotor systems. Nat Neurosci. 2015:18(5):744–751. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3993.
- Battista C, Evans TM, Ngoon TJ, Chen T, Chen L, Kochalka J, Menon V. Mechanisms of interactive specialization and emergence of functional brain circuits supporting cognitive development in children. NPJ Sci Learn. 2018:3(1):1. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41539-017-0017-2.
- Bayley N. Bayley scales of infant and toddler development. 3rd ed. San Antonio (TX): Harcourt Assessment; 2006.
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc Ser B Stat Methodol. 1995:57(1):289–300. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x.
- Braun U, Schafer A, Walter H, Erk S, Romanczuk-Seiferth N, Haddad L, Schweiger JI, Grimm O, Heinz A, Tost H, et al. Dynamic reconfiguration of frontal brain networks during executive cognition in humans. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2015:112(37):11678–11683. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1422487112.
- Buckner RL, DiNicola LM. The Brain's default network: updated anatomy, physiology and evolving insights. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2019:20(10):593–608. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-019-0212-7.
- Cao M, Huang H, He Y. Developmental Connectomics from infancy through early childhood. *Trends Neurosci*. 2017a:40(8):494–506. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2017.06.003.
- Cao M, He Y, Dai Z, Liao X, Jeon T, Ouyang M, Chalak L, Bi Y, Rollins N, Dong Q, et al. Early development of functional network

segregation revealed by connectomic analysis of the preterm human brain. *Cereb Cortex*. 2017b:27(3):1949–1963. https://doi. org/10.1093/cercor/bhw038.

- Chang CC, Lin CJ. LIBSVM: a library for support vector machines. Acm T Intel Syst Tec. 2011:2(3):1–27. https://doi. org/10.1145/1961189.1961199.
- Cui Z, Gong G. The effect of machine learning regression algorithms and sample size on individualized behavioral prediction with functional connectivity features. *NeuroImage*. 2018:178:622–637. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.06.001.
- Dehaene-Lambertz G, Spelke ES. The infancy of the human brain. Neuron. 2015:88(1):93–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuron.2015.09.026.
- Deipolyi AR, Mukherjee P, Gill K, Henry RG, Partridge SC, Veeraraghavan S, Jin H, Lu Y, Miller SP, Ferriero DM, et al. Comparing microstructural and macrostructural development of the cerebral cortex in premature newborns: diffusion tensor imaging versus cortical gyration. *NeuroImage*. 2005:27(3):579–586. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.04.027.
- Doria V, Beckmann CF, Arichi T, Merchant N, Groppo M, Turkheimer FE, Counsell SJ, Murgasova M, Aljabar P, Nunes RG, et al. Emergence of resting state networks in the preterm human brain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2010:107(46):20015–20020. https://doi. org/10.1073/pnas.1007921107.
- Finc K, Bonna K, He X, Lydon-Staley DM, Kuhn S, Duch W, Bassett DS. Dynamic reconfiguration of functional brain networks during working memory training. Nat Commun. 2020:11(1):2435. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15631-z.
- Fitzgibbon SP et al. The developing human connectome project (Dhcp) automated resting-state functional processing framework for newborn infants. *NeuroImage*. 2020:223:117303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117303.
- Fox MD, Zhang D, Snyder AZ, Raichle ME. The global signal and observed anticorrelated resting state brain networks. J Neurophysiol. 2009:101(6):3270–3283. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.90777.2008.
- Fransson P, Skiold B, Horsch S, Nordell A, Blennow M, Lagercrantz H, Aden U. Resting-state networks in the infant brain. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2007:104(39):15531–15536. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.0704380104.
- Fransson P, Skiold B, Engstrom M, Hallberg B, Mosskin M, Aden U, Lagercrantz H, Blennow M. Spontaneous brain activity in the newborn brain during natural sleep-an fMRI study in infants born at full term. Pediatr Res. 2009:66(3):301–305. https://doi. org/10.1203/PDR.0b013e3181b1bd84.
- Friston KJ, Williams S, Howard R, Frackowiak RS, Turner R. Movement-related effects in fMRI time-series. Magn Reson Med. 1996:35(3):346–355. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.1910350312.
- Gao W, Alcauter S, Smith JK, Gilmore JH, Lin W. Development of human brain cortical network architecture during infancy. Brain Struct Funct. 2015a:220(2):1173–1186. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00429-014-0710-3.
- Gao W, Alcauter S, Elton A, Hernandez-Castillo CR, Smith JK, Ramirez J, Lin W. Functional network development during the first year: relative sequence and socioeconomic correlations. *Cereb Cortex*. 2015b:25(9):2919–2928. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhu088.
- Gao W, Lin W, Grewen K, Gilmore JH. Functional connectivity of the infant human brain: plastic and modifiable. Neuroscientist. 2017:23(2):169–184. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073858416635986.
- Gilmore JH, Knickmeyer RC, Gao W. Imaging structural and functional brain development in early childhood. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2018:19(3):123–137. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2018.1.
- Gogolla N. The insular cortex. Curr Biol. 2017:27(12):R580-R586. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.05.010.

- Goldenberg RL, Culhane JF, Iams JD, Romero R. Epidemiology and causes of preterm birth. *Lancet*. 2008:371(9606):75–84. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60074-4.
- Graham AM, Buss C, Rasmussen JM, Rudolph MD, Demeter DV, Gilmore JH, Styner M, Entringer S, Wadhwa PD, Fair DA. Implications of newborn amygdala connectivity for fear and cognitive development at 6-months-of-age. *Dev Cogn Neurosci.* 2016:18: 12–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2015.09.006.
- Hagoort P. Nodes and networks in the neural architecture for language: Broca's region and beyond. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*. 2014:28: 136–141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2014.07.013.
- Hickok G, Poeppel D. The cortical organization of speech processing. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2007:8(5):393–402. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nrn2113.
- Howell BR, Styner MA, Gao W, Yap PT, Wang L, Baluyot K, Yacoub E, Chen G, Potts T, Salzwedel A, et al. The UNC/UMN baby connectome project (BCP): an overview of the study design and protocol development. *NeuroImage*. 2019:185:891–905. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.03.049.
- Huang Z, Wang Q, Zhou S, Tang C, Yi F, Nie J. Exploring functional brain activity in neonates: a resting-state fMRI study. Dev Cogn Neurosci. 2020:45:100850. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.dcn.2020.100850.
- Hutchison RM, Womelsdorf T, Allen EA, Bandettini PA, Calhoun VD, Corbetta M, Della Penna S, Duyn JH, Glover GH, Gonzalez-Castillo J, et al. Dynamic functional connectivity: promise, issues, and interpretations. *NeuroImage*. 2013:80:360–378. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.079.
- Huttenlocher PR. Synapse elimination and plasticity in developing human cerebral cortex. Am J Ment Defic. 1984:88(5):488–496.
- Huttenlocher PR. Morphometric study of human cerebral cortex development. Neuropsychologia. 1990:28(6):517–527. https://doi.org/10.1016/0028-3932(90)90031-I.
- Jiang NM, Cowan M, Moonah SN, Petri WA. The impact of systemic inflammation on neurodevelopment. Trends Mol Med. 2018:24(9): 794–804. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmed.2018.06.008.
- Johnson MH. Interactive specialization: a domain-general framework for human functional brain development? Dev Cogn Neurosci. 2011:1(1):7–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2010.07.003.
- Khambhati AN, Sizemore AE, Betzel RF, Bassett DS. Modeling and interpreting mesoscale network dynamics. *NeuroImage*. 2018:180(Pt B):337–349. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroimage.2017.06.029.
- Kostovic I, Sedmak G, Judas M. Neural histology and neurogenesis of the human fetal and infant brain. *NeuroImage*. 2019:188:743–773. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.12.043.
- Laumann TO, Snyder AZ, Mitra A, Gordon EM, Gratton C, Adeyemo B, Gilmore AW, Nelson SM, Berg JJ, Greene DJ, et al. On the stability of bold fMRI correlations. *Cereb Cortex*. 2017:27(10):4719–4732. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhw265.
- Lei T, Liao X, Chen X, Zhao T, Xu Y, Xia M, Zhang J, Xia Y, Sun X, Wei Y, et al. Progressive stabilization of brain network dynamics during childhood and adolescence. *Cereb Cortex*. 2022:32(5):1024–1039. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhab263.
- Liang X, Zou Q, He Y, Yang Y. Coupling of functional connectivity and regional cerebral blood flow reveals a physiological basis for network hubs of the human brain. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013:110(5):1929–1934. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1214900110.
- Liao X, Yuan L, Zhao T, Dai Z, Shu N, Xia M, Yang Y, Evans A, He Y. Spontaneous functional network dynamics and associated structural substrates in the human brain. Front Hum Neurosci. 2015:9:478. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2015.00478.

- Liao X, Cao M, Xia M, He Y. Individual differences and time-varying features of modular brain architecture. *NeuroImage*. 2017:152: 94–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.02.066.
- Liu J, Xia M, Wang X, Liao X, He Y. The spatial organization of the chronnectome associates with cortical hierarchy and transcriptional profiles in the human brain. *NeuroImage*. 2020;222:117296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117296.
- Lurie DJ, Kessler D, Bassett DS, Betzel RF, Breakspear M, Kheilholz S, Kucyi A, Liégeois R, Lindquist MA, McIntosh AR, et al. Questions and controversies in the study of time-varying functional connectivity in resting fMRI. *Netw Neurosci.* 2020:4(1):30–69. https:// doi.org/10.1162/netn_a_00116.
- Miller EK, Cohen JD. An integrative theory of prefrontal cortex function. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2001:24(1):167–202. https://doi. org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.167.
- Mucha PJ, Richardson T, Macon K, Porter MA, Onnela JP. Community structure in time-dependent, multiscale, and multiplex networks. Science. 2010:328(5980):876–878. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1184819.
- Murphy K, Fox MD. Towards a consensus regarding global signal regression for resting state functional connectivity MRI. NeuroImage. 2017:154:169–173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroimage.2016.11.052.
- Oh A, Duerden EG, Pang EW. The role of the insula in speech and language processing. *Brain Lang.* 2014:135:96–103. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.bandl.2014.06.003.
- Ouyang M, Liu P, Jeon T, Chalak L, Heyne R, Rollins NK, Licht DJ, Detre JA, Roberts TP, Lu H, et al. Heterogeneous increases of regional cerebral blood flow during preterm brain development: preliminary assessment with pseudo-continuous arterial spin labeled perfusion MRI. *NeuroImage*. 2017:147:233–242. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.12.034.
- Ouyang M, Dubois J, Yu Q, Mukherjee P, Huang H. Delineation of early brain development from fetuses to infants with diffusion MRI and beyond. *NeuroImage*. 2019a:185:836–850. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroimage.2018.04.017.
- Ouyang M, Jeon T, Sotiras A, Peng Q, Mishra V, Halovanic C, Chen M, Chalak L, Rollins N, Roberts TPL, et al. Differential cortical microstructural maturation in the preterm human brain with diffusion kurtosis and tensor imaging. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2019b:116(10):4681–4688. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1812156116.
- Ouyang M, Peng Q, Jeon T, Heyne R, Chalak L, Huang H. Diffusion-MRI-based regional cortical microstructure at birth for predicting neurodevelopmental outcomes of 2-year-olds. *elife*. 2020:9:e58116. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.58116.
- Pedersen M, Zalesky A, Omidvarnia A, Jackson GD. Multilayer network switching rate predicts brain performance. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2018:115(52):13376–13381. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1814785115.
- Power JD, Barnes KA, Snyder AZ, Schlaggar BL, Petersen SE. Spurious but systematic correlations in functional connectivity MRI networks arise from subject motion. *NeuroImage*. 2012:59(3):2142–2154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011. 10.018.
- Power JD, Mitra A, Laumann TO, Snyder AZ, Schlaggar BL, Petersen SE. Methods to detect, characterize, and remove motion Artifact in resting state fMRI. *NeuroImage*. 2014:84:320–341. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.08.048.
- Preti MG, Bolton TA, Van De Ville D. The dynamic functional connectome: state-of-the-art and perspectives. *NeuroImage*. 2017:160: 41–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.12.061.

- Rakic P. Mode of cell migration to the superficial layers of Fetal monkey neocortex. J Comp Neurol. 1972:145(1):61–83. https://doi. org/10.1002/cne.901450105.
- Rakic P. Radial versus tangential migration of neuronal clones in the developing cerebral cortex. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1995:92(25): 11323–11327. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.92.25.11323.
- Rousseeuw PJ. Silhouettes a graphical aid to the interpretation and validation of cluster-analysis. J Comput Appl Math. 1987:20:53–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-0427(87)90125-7.
- Scheinost D, Pollatou A, Dufford AJ, Jiang R, Farruggia MC, Rosenblatt M, Peterson H, Rodriguez RX, Dadashkarimi J, Liang Q, et al. Machine learning and prediction in Fetal, infant, and toddler neuroimaging: a review and primer. Biol Psychiatry. 2022;93(10): 893–904. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2022.10.014, 10.1016/ j.biopsych.2022.10.014.
- Seber GA. Multivariate observations. John Wiley & Sons; 2009, Hoboken, New Jersey.
- Serag A, Aljabar P, Ball G, Counsell SJ, Boardman JP, Rutherford MA, Edwards AD, Hajnal JV, Rueckert D. Construction of a consistent high-definition spatio-temporal atlas of the developing brain using adaptive kernel regression. *NeuroImage*. 2012:59(3): 2255–2265. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.09.062.
- Smyser CD, Inder TE, Shimony JS, Hill JE, Degnan AJ, Snyder AZ, Neil JJ. Longitudinal analysis of neural network development in preterm infants. *Cereb Cortex*. 2010:20(12):2852–2862. https://doi. org/10.1093/cercor/bhq035.
- Sporns O, Betzel RF. Modular brain networks. Annu Rev Psychol. 2016:67(1):613–640. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurevpsych-122414-033634.
- Tau GZ, Peterson BS. Normal development of brain circuits. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2010:35(1):147–168. https://doi.org/10.1038/ npp.2009.115.
- Thomason ME, Brown JA, Dassanayake MT, Shastri R, Marusak HA, Hernandez-Andrade E, Yeo L, Mody S, Berman S, Hassan SS, et al. Intrinsic functional brain architecture derived from graph theoretical analysis in the human fetus. *PLoS One.* 2014:9(5):e94423. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0094423.
- Uddin LQ. Cognitive and behavioural flexibility: neural mechanisms and clinical considerations. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2021:22(3):167–179. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-021-00428-w.
- van den Heuvel MI, Thomason ME. Functional connectivity of the human brain in utero. *Trends Cogn Sci.* 2016:20(12):931–939. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2016.10.001.
- Vinh NX, Epps J, Bailey J. Information theoretic measures for clusterings comparison: variants, properties, normalization and correction for chance. J Mach Learn Res. 2010:11(3):2837–2854. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41583-021-00428-w.
- Wang J, Wang X, Xia M, Liao X, Evans A, He Y. Gretna: a graph theoretical network analysis toolbox for imaging

connectomics. Front Hum Neurosci. 2015:9:386. https://doi. org/10.3389/fnhum.2015.00386.

- Wen X, Wang R, Yin W, Lin W, Zhang H, Shen D. Development of dynamic functional architecture during early infancy. *Cereb Cortex*. 2020:30(11):5626–5638. https://doi.org/10.1093/ cercor/bhaa128.
- Xu Y, Cao M, Liao X, Xia M, Wang X, Jeon T, Ouyang M, Chalak L, Rollins N, Huang H, et al. Development and emergence of individual variability in the functional connectivity architecture of the preterm human brain. *Cereb Cortex*. 2019:29(10):4208–4222. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhy302.
- Yan C-G, Zang Y-F. DPARSF: a Matlab toolbox for "pipeline" data analysis of resting-state fMRI. Front Syst Neurosci. 2010:4:13. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2010.00013.
- Yan CG, Cheung B, Kelly C, Colcombe S, Craddock RC, Di Martino A, Li Q, Zuo XN, Castellanos FX, Milham MP. A comprehensive assessment of regional variation in the impact of head micromovements on functional connectomics. *NeuroImage*. 2013:76: 183–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.03.004.
- Yin W, Li T, Hung SC, Zhang H, Wang L, Shen D, Zhu H, Mucha PJ, Cohen JR, Lin W. The emergence of a functionally flexible brain during early infancy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2020:117(38):23904–23913. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 2002645117.
- Yu Q, Ouyang A, Chalak L, Jeon T, Chia J, Mishra V, Sivarajan M, Jackson G, Rollins N, Liu S, et al. Structural development of human fetal and preterm brain cortical plate based on populationaveraged templates. *Cereb Cortex*. 2016:26(11):4381–4391. https:// doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhv201.
- Yu Q, Ouyang M, Detre J, Kang H, Hu D, Hong B, Fang F, Peng Y, Huang H. Infant brain regional cerebral blood flow increases supporting emergence of the default-mode network. *elife*. 2023:12:e78397. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.78397.
- Zalesky A, Fornito A, Harding IH, Cocchi L, Yucel M, Pantelis C, Bullmore ET. Whole-brain anatomical networks: does the choice of nodes matter? *NeuroImage*. 2010:50(3):970–983. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.12.027.
- Zalesky A, Fornito A, Cocchi L, Gollo LL, Breakspear M. Time-resolved resting-state brain networks. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2014:111(28): 10341–10346. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1400181111.
- Zhang J, Cheng W, Liu Z, Zhang K, Lei X, Yao Y, Becker B, Liu Y, Kendrick KM, Lu G, et al. Neural, electrophysiological and anatomical basis of brain-network variability and its characteristic changes in mental disorders. *Brain*. 2016:139(8):2307–2321. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/aww143.
- Zhao T, Mishra V, Jeon T, Ouyang M, Peng Q, Chalak L, Wisnowski JL, Heyne R, Rollins N, Shu N, et al. Structural network maturation of the preterm human brain. *NeuroImage*. 2019:185:699–710. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.06.047.